

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/947,221	CHAKRABARTI ET AL.
	Examiner LE, Uyen	Art Unit 2171

All Participants:

(1) John L. Rogitz

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Safet Metjahić

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 September 2004

Time: 10:30AM e.s.t.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SAFET METJAHIC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Metjahic obtained permission from Mr. Rogitz to amend Claim 12 by adding "computerized" to the first line of the preamble of Claim 12 - between "A" and "method." Examiner Metjahic requested authorization for the Examiner's amendment in order to avoid any 35 USC 101 issues - namely, that the claim may be construed as not directed toward statutory subject matter. The amendment would clearly place the claimed subject matter in the technological arts, having a useful, tangible and concrete result.